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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the nano binary mildly generalized closed sets, nano binary weakly generalized closed sets and nano binary strongly generalized closed sets in nano binary topological spaces. Also studied their characterizations and properties.
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## 1. Introduction

M. Lellis Thivagar [1] instigated the idea of nano topological space with respect to a subset X of a universe U . S. Nithyanantha Jothi et al. [2] instigated the idea of binary topological spaces. By combining these two ideas Dr. G. Hari Siva Annam and J. Jasmine Elizabeth [3] instigated nano binary topological spaces. In this paper we have instigated nano binary mildly generalized closed sets, nano binary weakly generalized closed sets and nano binary strongly generalized closed sets in nano binary topological spaces. Also studied their properties and characterizations with suitable examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [3] Let $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ be the universe, $R$ be an equivalence on $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ and $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right),(\phi, \phi), L_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), U_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), B_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right\}$ where $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$. Then by the property $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ satisfies the following axioms

1. $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ and $(\phi, \phi) \in \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$
2. The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is in $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$
3. The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is in $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. That is, $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a topology on $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ called the nano binary topology on $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ with respect to $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. We call $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ as the nano binary topological spaces. The elements of $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ are called as nano binary open sets and it is denoted by $N_{B}$ open sets. Their complements are called $N_{B}$ closed sets.

Definition 2.2. [3] If $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ is a nano binary topological spaces with respect to $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ and if $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$, then the nano binary interior of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is defined as the union of all $N_{B}$ open subsets of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. That is, $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{1^{o}}=\cup\left\{H_{1_{\alpha}}:\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right.$ is nano binary open and $\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)$

[^0]$\left.\subseteq\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)\right\}$ and $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{2^{o}}=\cup\left\{H_{2_{\alpha}}:\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right.$ is nano binary open and $\left.\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right) \subseteq\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)\right\}$. The ordered pair $\left(\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{1^{\circ}},\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{2^{o}}\right)$ is called the nano binary interior of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$, denoted by $N_{B}^{\circ}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. That is, $N_{B}^{\circ}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is the largest $N_{B}$ open subset of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. The nano binary closure of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is defined as the intersection of all $N_{B}$ closed sets containing $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. That is, $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{1^{*}}=\cap\left\{H_{1_{\alpha}}:\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right.$ is nano binary closed and $\left.\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right\}$ and $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{2^{*}}=\cap\left\{H_{2_{\alpha}}:\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right.$ is nano binary closed and $\left.\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(H_{1_{\alpha}}, H_{2_{\alpha}}\right)\right\}$. The ordered pair $\left(\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{1^{*}},\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)^{2^{*}}\right)$ is called the nano binary closure of $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$, denoted by $\overline{N_{B}}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. That is, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is the smallest $N_{B}$ closed set containing $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$.
Definition 2.3. [3] Let $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ be a nano binary topological space. A subset $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ is called nano binary generalized closed ( $N_{B}$ g-closed)set if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ whenever $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ open.

Definition 2.4. [3] A subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is said to be nano binary generalized open ( $N_{B}$ g-open) if its complement is $N_{B} \mathrm{~g}$-closed.

Definition 2.5. [3] The intersection of all $N_{B}$ g-closed sets containing ( $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$ ) is said to be nano binary generalized closure of $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.6. [3] The union of all $N_{B}$ g-open sets contained in $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is said to be nano binary generalized interior of $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.7. [3] A nano binary generalized closure is denoted by ${\overline{N_{B}}}^{*}$ and nano binary generalized interior is denoted by $N_{B}^{\circ *}$.

Result 2.1. [3] 1. Every $N_{B}$ open set is $N_{B}$ g-open.
2. Every $N_{B}$ closed set is $N_{B}$ g-closed.

Note 2.1. The contrary of the prior result is not true as shown in the following example.
Example 2.1. Let $U_{1}=\{o, p, q\}, U_{2}=\{7,8\}$ with $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) / R=\{(\{o, q\},\{7\})$,
$(\{p\},\{8\})\}$ and $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=(\{o, p\},\{8\})$. Then $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\{(\phi, \phi),\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)\right.$,
$(\{o, q\},\{7\}),(\{p\},\{8\})\} . \quad$ Let $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=(\{o, q\},\{7\})$ and $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=(\{q\},\{7\})$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=$ $(\{o, q\},\{7\}) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-closed but not $N_{B}$ closed.

## 3. Nano Binary Generalized Closed Set

Theorem 3.1. A subset $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ of a $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$
is $N_{B}$ g-closed if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ contains no non-empty $N_{B}$ g-closed set.
Proof: Suppose $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$, where $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open. Let $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ be a $N_{B}$ g-closed subset of $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-open. Since $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \Rightarrow$ $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$. That is $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow(\phi, \phi)$. Therefore, $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ is empty.

Theorem 3.2. If $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ are $N_{B} g$-closed, then $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-closed.
Proof: Let $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ be $N_{B}$ g-closed sets. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
and $\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open and $\overline{N_{B}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open. Since $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ are subsets of $\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is a subset $\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, which is $N_{B}$-open. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup \overline{N_{B}}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-closed.

Remark 3.1. The intersection of two $N_{B} g$-closed set is again an $N_{B} g$-closed set as previous.
Theorem 3.3. If $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-closed and $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$, then $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$ closed.
Proof: Let $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$, where $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open. Then $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$. Since $\left.\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$. Also $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$
$\subseteq\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed.
Theorem 3.4. An $N_{B} g$-closed set $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ closed if and only if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$

- $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ closed.

Proof: Let $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ be $N_{B}$ closed. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)=(\phi, \phi)$, which is $N_{B}$ closed. Conversely, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ closed. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)=(\phi, \phi)$, since $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed. Therefore, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ and hence $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ closed.

Definition 3.1. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$, a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is said to be

1. weakly $N_{B}$ g-closed if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ whenever $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$.
2. mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ whenever $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-open in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$.
3. strongly $N_{B}$ g-closed if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ whenever $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-open in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$.

The complements of the above mentioned sets are called their respective $N_{B} \mathrm{~g}$-open sets.
Theorem 3.5. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right.$ ), a subset ( $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$ ) of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$ closed if and only if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.
Proof: If $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \nsubseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$, there exists $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)-$ $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\right.$
$\left.\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and so $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$, where $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$ is $N_{B} g$-open being $N_{B}$-open. Thus $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$ is $N_{B} g$-open. But $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)$
$\nsubseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}$, since $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is not mildly $N_{B} g$-closed. Suppose, let $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ be any $N_{B}$ g-open set such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.
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Theorem 3.6. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$, a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is weakly $N_{B} g$ closed if and only if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.
Proof: If $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \nsubseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$, there exists $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)-$ $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Thus $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open. But $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \nsubseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in$ $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is not weakly $N_{B}$ g-closed. Suppose, let $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ be any $N_{B}$ open set such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is weakly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Theorem 3.7. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right.$ ), a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$ closed if and only if $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is weakly $N_{B} g$-closed.
Proof: Proof follows from theorem 3.7 and theorem 3.8.
Theorem 3.8. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right.$ ), a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is strongly $N_{B}$ $g$-closed if and only if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.
Proof: If $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \nsubseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$, there exists $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Thus $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-open being $N_{B}$-open. But $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \nsubseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is not strongly $N_{B}$ g-closed. Suppose, let $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ be any $N_{B} g$-open set such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is strongly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Theorem 3.9. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right.$ ), a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed if and only if $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$.
Proof: If $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \nsubseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$, there exists $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Thus $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$-open. But $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \nsubseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is not $N_{B} g$-closed. Suppose, let $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ be any $N_{B}$-open set such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$
$\subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ g-closed.
Theorem 3.10. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$, a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is strongly $N_{B}$ $g$-closed if and only if $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B} g$-closed.
Proof: Proof follows from the prior theorems.
Theorem 3.11. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$

1. Every $N_{B} g$-closed is weakly $N_{B} g$-closed.
2. Every strongly $N_{B} g$-closed is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Proof: The proof is obvious.
Remark 3.2. The converses of the above theorem are not true as shown in the following examples.

Example 3.1. Let $U_{1}=\{o, p, q\}, U_{2}=\{8,9\}$ with $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) / R=\{(\{o, p\},\{9\})$,
$(\{q\},\{8\})\}$ and $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=(\{p\},\{9\})$. Then $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\{(\phi, \phi),\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right),(\{o, p\}\right.$,
$\{9\})\}$. Here $(\{p\},\{9\})$ is weakly $N_{B} g$-closed but not $N_{B} g$-closed. Since
$\overline{N_{B}} N_{B}{ }^{\circ}(\{p\},\{9\})=\overline{N_{B}}(\phi, \phi)=(\phi, \phi) \subseteq(\{o, p\},\{9\})=\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ but $\overline{N_{B}}(\{p\},\{9\})=\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \nsubseteq(\{o, p\},\{9\})$.
Example 3.2. Let $U_{1}=\{s, t, u\}, U_{2}=\{e, f\}$ with $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) / R=\{(\{s, u\},\{e\})$,
$(\{t\},\{f\})\}$ and $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=(\{s, t\},\{f\})$. Then $\tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\left\{(\phi, \phi),\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)\right.$,
$(\{s, u\},\{e\}),(\{t\},\{f\})\}$. Take $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)=(\{t, u\},\{e, f\})$ is $N_{B}$ g-open and $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=(\{u\},\{e\})$. Here $\overline{N_{B}} N_{B}{ }^{\circ}(\{u\},\{e\})=\overline{N_{B}}(\phi, \phi)=(\phi, \phi) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ but $\overline{N_{B}}(\{u\},\{e\})=(\{s, u\},\{e\}) \nsubseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $(\{u\},\{e\})$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed but not strongly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Result 3.1. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ for a subset $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$, then the following properties are equivalent

1. $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed.
2. $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)=(\phi, \phi)$.
3. $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$
4. $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ pre-closed.

Theorem 3.12. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ if $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed, then $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed.
Proof: Since $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Then $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right) \Rightarrow$ $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right)$. Also $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right) \subseteq\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$. Hence $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \cup\left(\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)-\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Theorem 3.13. In $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$ every $N_{B}$ closed set is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.
Proof: Let $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ be $N_{B}$ closed set. Let $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ be any $N_{B}$ g-open subset of $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)=\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Remark 3.3. The reverse of the above theorem is not true as shown in the following example.
Example 3.3. In example 2.11, $(\{q\},\{7\})$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed but not $N_{B}$ closed.
Theorem 3.14. In a $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right.$ ), if ( $\left.\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is a subset such that $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)$, then $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed.
Proof: Since $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) .\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Corollary 3.1. In a $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$, if $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed and an $N_{B}$ open set, then $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.
Proof: Since $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ open in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right),\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq \overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)=\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\left.\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)$. By the above theorem, $\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed.

Theorem 3.15. In a $N_{B}$ topological space $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \tau_{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)$, a $N_{B}$ nowhere dense subset is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed.
Proof: If $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is $N_{B}$ nowhere dense subset in $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$, then $N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)=(\phi, \phi)$. Since $N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\overline{N_{B}}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)=(\phi, \phi)$. Therefore, $N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)=(\phi, \phi)$. Hence $\overline{N_{B}}\left(N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)\right)=\overline{N_{B}}(\phi, \phi)=(\phi, \phi) \subseteq$ $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)$ is mildly $N_{B}$ g-closed.

Remark 3.4. The reverse of the prior theorem is not true as shown in the following example.
Example 3.4. In example 2.11, $(\{q\},\{7\})$ is mildly $N_{B} g$-closed but not $N_{B}$ nowhere dense. Because $N_{B}{ }^{\circ}\left(\overline{N_{B}}(\{q\},\{7\})=N_{B}{ }^{\circ}(\{o, q\},\{7\})=(\{o, q\},\{7\}) \neq(\phi, \phi)\right.$.

## 4. Conclusion:

Some new nano binary generalized closed sets were introduced and their properties were discussed. In future we will discuss $N_{B}$ kernel and $N_{B}$ separation axioms in $N_{B}$ topological spaces.
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