



A STUDY ON T-FUZZY SOFT SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

Dr.N.Anitha¹ and M.Latha²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Periyar University , PG Extension Centre, Dharmapuri-05.

² Departments of Mathematics, Karpagam University, Coimbatore-21.

Abstract

In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of a T-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring and some of the its properties.

Keywords: Fuzzy soft set, T-fuzzy soft subhemiring, T-fuzzy soft normal subhemiring and pseudo Fuzzy soft coset.

AMS Subject classification: 03E72, 06D72, 08A72.

INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring $(R; +, \cdot)$. Some of them in particular, nearrings and several kinds of semirings have been proven very useful. Semirings (called also half-rings) are algebras $(R; +, \cdot)$ share the same properties as a ring except that $(R; +)$ is assumed to be a semigroup rather than a commutative group. Semirings appear in a natural manner in some applications to the theory of automata and formal languages. An algebra $(R; +, \cdot)$ is said to be a semiring if $(R; +)$ and $(R; \cdot)$ are semigroups satisfying $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ for all a, b and c in R . A semiring R is said to be additively commutative if $a + b = b + a$ for all a, b and c in R . A semiring R may have an identity 1 , defined by $1 \cdot a = a = a \cdot 1$ and a zero 0 , defined by $0 + a = a = a + 0$ and $a \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot a$ for all a in R . A semiring R is said to be a hemiring if it is an additively commutative with zero. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [17], several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut,[5] were developed some operations of fuzzy soft sets, On operations of soft sets was developed by A.Sezgin and A. O. Atagun,[13] and Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain,[7] was developed some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, In this paper, we introduce some Theorems in T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Definition1.1. A pair (F,E) is called a soft set (over U) if and only if F is a mapping of E into the set of all subsets of the set U .

* Corresponding author: lathasenthil18@gmail.com

Received: 24 April 2017; Accepted: 23 June 2017

In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set U . Every set $F(\varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon \in E$) from this family may be considered as the set of ε -elements of the soft sets (F, E) or as the set of ε - approximate elements of the soft set.

Definition 1.2. Let (U, E) be a soft universe and $A \subseteq E$. Let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the set of all fuzzy subsets in U . A pair $(\tilde{F}, A)$ is called a fuzzy soft set over U , where $\tilde{F}$, is a mapping given by $\tilde{F} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Definition 1.3: A T-norm is a binary operation $T: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ satisfying the following requirements:

- (i) $1 \ T \ x = x$ (boundary conditions)
- (ii) $x \ T \ y = y \ T \ x$ (commutativity)
- (iii) $x \ T \ (y \ T \ z) = (x \ T \ y) \ T \ z$ (associativity)
- (iv) If $x \leq y$ and $w \leq z$, then $x \ T \ w \leq y \ T \ z$ (monotonicity).

Definition 1.4 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a hemiring. A fuzzy subset of R is said to be an T-fuzzy subhemiring (fuzzy subhemiring with respect to T-norm) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(x + y) \leq T \left(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y) \right)$
- (ii) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq T \left(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y) \right)$, for all x and y in R .

Definition 1.5 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a hemiring. A T- Fuzzysoft subhemiring (F, A) of R is said to be an Fuzzy soft normal subhemiring (TFSNSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(yx)$, for all x and y in R .

Definition 1.6 If $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R^1, +, \cdot)$ are any two hemirings, then the function $f: R \rightarrow R^1$ is called a **homomorphism** if $f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y)$ and $f(xy)=f(x)f(y)$, for all x and y in R .

Definition 1.7 If $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R^1, +, \cdot)$ are any two hemirings, then the function $f: R \rightarrow R^1$ is called an **anti-homomorphism** if $f(x+y) = f(y)+f(x)$ and $f(xy)=f(y)f(x)$, for all x and y in R .

Definition 1.8 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R^1, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. Then the function $f: R \rightarrow R^1$ be a hemiring homomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring isomorphism**.

Definition 1.9 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R^1, +, \cdot)$ be any two hemirings. Then the function $f: R \rightarrow R^1$ be a hemiring anti-homomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring anti-isomorphism**.

Definition 1.10 Let R and R^1 be any two hemirings. Let $f: R \rightarrow R^1$ be any function and let A be a T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring in R , V be an T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring in $f(R) = R^1$, defined by $\mu_{V(y)} = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\mu_{(F,A)}(x))$ for all x in R and y in R^1 . Then A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$.

Definition 1.11 Let (F,A) be an T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ and a in R . Then the pseudo T-Fuzzy soft coset $(a(F,A))^p$ is defined by $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) = p(a) \mu_{(F,A)}(x)$, for every x in R and for some p in P .

2. T-FUZZY SOFT SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

Theorem: 2.1 If (F, A) is an T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$, then $(F, \Box A)$ is an T-Fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let (F, A) be an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R . Consider $(F,A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \rangle \}$, for all x in R , we take $(F, \Box A) = (F,B) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \rangle \}$, where $\mu_{(F,B)}(x) = \mu_{(F,A)}(x)$. Clearly, $\mu_{(F,B)}(x+y) \leq T\{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R and $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \leq T\{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R . Since A is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R , we have $\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R , And $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R . Hence $(F,B) = (F, \Box A)$ is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R .

Theorem: 2.2 If (F,A) is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$, then $(F, \Diamond A)$ is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let (F,A) be an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R . That is $(F,A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \rangle \}$, for all x in R . Let $(F, \Diamond A) = (F,B) = \{ \langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \rangle \}$, for all x and y in R . Since (F,A) is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R , which implies that $1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \geq T\{(1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(x)), (1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(y))\}$, which implies that $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \leq 1 - T\{(1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(x)), (1 - \mu_{(F,B)}(y))\} = T\{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$. Therefore, $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \leq T\{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R . Hence $(F,B) = (F, \Diamond A)$ is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R .

Theorem: 2.3 Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(R', +, \cdot)$ be any two hemi rings. The homomorphic image of an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' .

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$, for all x and y in R . Let $(G, V) = f((F, A))$, where (F, A) is an T – fuzzy soft subhemiring of R . Now, for $f(x), f(y)$ in R' ,

$$\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)) + (f(y)) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(x + y) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)), \text{ which implies that}$$

$$\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x)) + (f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(y))). \text{ Again,}$$

$\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$, which implies that $\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$. Hence (G, V) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of hemiring R' .

Theorem: 2.4 Let $(R, +, .)$ and $(R', +, .)$ be any two hemirings. The homomorphic preimage of a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$, for all x and y in R . Let $(G, V) = f(F, A)$, where (G, V) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' . Now, for x, y in R , $\mu_{(F,A)}((x + y)) = \mu_{(G,V)}((f(x)) + f(y)) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(y))) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$ which implies that $\mu_{(F,A)}((x + y)) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$. Again, $\mu_{(F,A)}((xy)) = \mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(y))) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$, which implies that $\mu_{(F,A)}((xy)) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$. Hence (F, A) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of hemiring R .

Theorem: 2.5 Let $(R, +, .)$ and $(R', +, .)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic image of a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' .

Proof: Let $f : R \rightarrow R'$ be a homomorphism. Then, $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$, for all x and y in R . Let $(G, V) = f(F, A)$, where (F, A) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R . $\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x)) + (f(y))) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(y + x) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(y), \mu_{(F,A)}(x, q)) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$, which implies that $\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x)) + (f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(y)))$. Again, $\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq \mu_{(F,A)}(yx) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(y), \mu_{(F,A)}(x)) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$ which implies that $\mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(x)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(y)))$. Hence (G, V) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of hemiring R' .

Theorem: 2.6 Let $(R, +, .)$ and $(R', +, .)$ be any two hemirings. The anti-homomorphic preimage of an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' is an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let $(G, V) = f(F, A)$ where (G, V) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R' . Let x and y in R . Then $\mu_{(F,A)}((x + y)) = \mu_{(G,V)}((f(x)) + (f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(y)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(x))) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$, which implies that $\mu_{(F,A)}((x + y)) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$. Again $\mu_{(F,A)}((xy)) = \mu_{(G,V)}((f(x))(f(y))) \leq T(\mu_{(G,V)}(f(y)), \mu_{(G,V)}(f(x))) = T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$, which implies that $\mu_{(F,A)}((xy)) \leq T(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y))$. Hence (F, A) is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of hemiring R .

In the following Theorem $\circ$ is the composition operation of functions

Theorem: 2.7 Let (F, A) be an T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H . Then $(F, A) \circ f$ is a T-fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H . Then we have, $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x+y) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)+f(y))$, as f is an isomorphism $\leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x+y) \leq T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$. And, $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)f(y))$, as f is an isomorphism $\leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(xy) \leq T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$. Therefore $(F,A)^{\circ f}$ is a T -Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R .

Theorem: 2.8 Let (F,A) be an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring h and f is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring r onto h . then $(F,A)^{\circ f}$ is a T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of R .

Proof: Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H . Then we have, $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x+y) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)+f(x))$, as f is an anti-isomorphism $\leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x+y) \leq T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$. And, $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y)f(x))$, as f is an anti-isomorphism $\leq T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)}(f(y))\} = T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(xy) \leq T\{(\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(x), (\mu_{(F,A)}^{\circ f})(y)\}$. Therefore $(F,A)^{\circ f}$ is an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of the hemiring R .

Theorem: 2.9 Let (F,A) be an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring $(R, +, \cdot)$, then the pseudo fuzzy soft coset $(a\mu_{(F,A)})^p$ is an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R , for every a in R .

Proof: Let (F,A) be an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R . For every x and y in R , we have, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x+y) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \leq p(a)T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = T\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = T\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x+y) \leq T\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Now, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \leq p(a)T\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = T\{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = T\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) \leq T\{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Hence $(a\mu_{(F,A)})^p$ is an T -fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R .

REFERENCE

- [1]. Akram.M and K.H.Dar On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of Mathematics,37, 61-76(2005).
- [2]. Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 35,512-517 (1971).
- [3]. Atanassov. K, Operators over interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 64 (1994) 159-174
- [4] Biswas.R, Fuzzy subgroups and anti-fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and systems, 35; 121-124,(1990).

- [5] M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, A study on some operations of fuzzy soft sets, International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.2, Issue. 2 (2012), 219-225.
- [6] Feng, F., C. Li, B. Davvaz and M.I. Ali, 2010. Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: tentative approach, Soft Computing, 14: 899-911.
- [7] Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, 2014. International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, Vol.4, Issue 4(2014), 65-68.
- [8] Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, 2001. Fuzzy soft sets. The J. Fuzzy Math., 9: 589-602.
- [9] Molodtsov, D., Soft set theory results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (4-5), (1999) 19-31.
- [10] Maji P. K., Roy A. R., and Biswas. R, An application of soft sets in decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (8-9) (2002).
- [11] Neog T. J. and Sut .D. K., On Union and Intersection of Fuzzy Soft Sets", Int. J. Comp. Tec. Appl. Vol 2 (5) 1160-1176.
- [12]. Osman kazanci, sultan yamark and serife yilmaz, 2007. On intuitionistic fuzzy - subgroups of near rings, International mathematical forum, 2(59):2899-2910.
- [13]. Sezgin. A and Atagun. A. O, On operations of soft sets, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 1467-1475.
- [14]. Solairaju .A and R.Nagarajan, Characterization of interval valued Anti fuzzy Left h-ideals over Hemirings, Advances in fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.4, No.2, 129-136 (2006).
- [15]. Solairaju .A and R.Nagarajan, 2008. fuzzy left R-subgroups of near rings w.r.t T-norms, Antarctica journal of mathematics, 5:1-2.
- [16]. Solairaju .A and R.Nagarajan, 2009. A new structure and construction of fuzzy groups, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Volume 4 (1):23-29.
- [17] Zadeh. L. A, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control. 8 (1965) 338-353.